

Last year was the beginning of a green transition

If we look at the periods when the energy system shifted dramatically, whether in 1979 or 2022, there's a clear pattern: crisis

For almost half a century, the world has talked about quitting its addiction to fossil fuels. Yet, year after year, we remain stuck with the same old dirty energy system.

The consequences of that delay are now upon us, with the climate crisis breaching our front door. Extreme rainfall in Pakistan affected more than 33 million people this year, with some communities converted into lakes. In Florida, Hurricane Ian caused more than \$50 bn in insured damage, making it the second-most-expensive hurricane in the country's history. In the western United States, drought left the nation's two largest reservoirs nearly three-quarters empty.

But when we look back a decade from now, we may find that 2022 was an inflection point. New policies in the United States and Europe and elections in Australia and Brazil are creating momentum for the shift toward clean energy. If moving away from dirty energy is like rerouting a giant ship, then 2022 could be the year when world leaders started to turn the tanker around.

America's new law

An energy transition sounds smooth and orderly. But in a year with a brutal war that turned global energy markets upside down, we learned that's not the way this kind of change will happen. It's going to be a bumpy ride — an energy disruption.

If we look at the periods when the energy system shifted dramatically, whether in 1979 or 2022, there's a clear pattern: crisis. When energy supply grows scarce and fossil fuel prices shoot through the roof, governments act.

The responses might be Band-Aids — bringing down prices in the short term but doing little to change dependence on dirty energy. Or they could be like major surgery — fundamentally altering energy infrastructure. It's the latter changes that really count, because they're harder to reverse.

After more than three decades of largely failed efforts, the US Congress passed a series of climate bills aimed squarely at infrastructure. The biggest one, the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA), is projected to invest around \$370 bn in clean industries. Much of the funding will flow through unlimited tax credits to households for everything from electric vehicles to solar panels to heat pumps that run on electricity rather than gas.

Private companies have invested north of \$100 bn in electric vehicles, charging infrastructure and solar.

Initially, it seemed this package would fail to make it over the finish line. The bill died and was reincarnated again and again.

Ultimately, high energy prices created the conditions for action. By one estimate, fossil fuel price increases drove 41 per cent of inflation in the United States.

The law will also be hard to roll back, even if Republicans get a chance in the future, because it's creating well-paying jobs in America. Private companies have invested north of \$100 bn in electric vehicles, charging infrastructure and solar. Many new jobs will be in Republican states and districts. At a BMW event in October, Senator Lindsey Graham of South Carolina said his state 'is going to become the Detroit of batteries.'

America's new energy laws are far from perfect. They include investments in fossil fuel infrastructure, like gas-powered buses. And so far, many electric utilities have resisted updating their plans to build clean energy faster. Congress had proposed incentives for them to do this as part of the climate package, but Senator Joe Manchin of West Virginia refused to let that provision move forward.

Yet overall these new laws will set the United States on a permanent course away from fossil fuels — even if the journey has ups and downs.

A strong green push for Europe

Last year will also likely be a turning point for Europe. The energy crisis, driven by Vladimir Putin's unprovoked invasion of Ukraine, has all but cut off Europe from its largest source of fossil gas. In response, prices have surged; in August, gas in the European Union cost a whopping 12

times as much as at the start of 2021.

The explosion of the Nord Stream gas pipelines in September — widely believed to be an act of sabotage — that damaged a key link between Russia and Europe will only accelerate these trends, and it's unclear if the pipelines can be repaired. Most likely, the damage will permanently reduce Europe's access to fossil fuels.

Given these disruptions, Europe has accelerated its plans to move toward clean energy. In November, the European Union decided to speed up permitting and installation for renewable energy projects by setting maximum timelines for equipment such as solar panels and heat pumps. EU negotiators also recently completed a deal to cut carbon pollution faster this decade.

As clean technology falls in price, more consumers and businesses around the world will choose it rather than fossil fuels.

In October and November, gas usage in Europe was roughly a quarter below its five-year average for the same period. Part of this reduction is from people changing their behaviour to save energy, a trend that could be temporary. But in the first half of 2022, Poland, the Netherlands, Italy and Austria saw massive growth in sales of heat pumps. The buildings that now have heat pumps are unlikely to ever return to burning gas, even if the geopolitical situation shifts.

As the world manufactures more solar panels, electric vehicles and heat pumps, it will also learn how to make them more cheaply. That innovation cannot easily be undone. As clean technology falls in price, more consumers and businesses around the world will choose it rather than fossil fuels. Think about how widely cell phones were adopted over landlines after they were more affordable.

Climate progress as a dance

Elections this year also set the stage for further policies that move the global energy system away from fossil fuels. After devastating wildfires over the past few years that killed or displaced three billion wild animals and destroyed thousands of homes, the toll of climate inaction became clear to more and more Australians. In May, Australian voters booted from office a party whose approach to climate change was to deny and delay. The victorious Labour Party put climate change at the centre of its platform, and independents defeated incumbent politicians in previously safe seats by

running aggressive climate campaigns.

The Australian Labour government is now considering what kind of energy system it wants to build. It will have to make a particularly tough choice to turn away from coal if it wants to follow through on its climate commitments.

Bolsonaro's policies led to an area of forest larger than Belgium being devastated in under three years.

In October the Brazilian people chose Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva as their next president, rejecting the anti-environment Jair Bolsonaro regime. After Mr. Bolsonaro came to power in 2019, there was a 50 per cent increase in deforestation within six months. His policies led to an area of forest larger than Belgium being devastated in under three years.

Last month at the UN climate conference, Mr. Lula said he would 'do whatever it takes' to achieve zero deforestation and that 'climate change will have the highest priority' in his government.

But the environmental enforcement mechanisms that Mr. Bolsonaro dismantled can't be rebuilt overnight. Even if the new president is wildly successful, these gains will be harder to lock in than changes to energy infrastructure.

The global energy disruption had its share of bad news, too. Globally, coal consumption reached an all-time high last year, as some countries searched desperately for lower-cost energy in the short term. Yet the rapid growth in renewables has almost outweighed this shift toward coal, at least in terms of carbon pollution.

Last year, climate progress has been a dance. Rather than two steps forward, one step back, it's unpredictable and improvisational. A tyrant invades a sovereign country, fossil fuels spike in price, rogue actors blow up pipelines, and heat pumps have their breakthrough moment. It's hard to say how things will play out. But my bet is clean energy wins the day.

© *The New York Times*



Leah C. Stokes
Santa Barbara

Leah Stokes is a political scientist and lecturer at the University of California and senior policy advisor at Evergreen Action and Rewiring America. She researches political behaviour and public opinion, focusing on energy and environmental policy in the United States.